## ASHRAE's New Position Document on Indoor Carbon Dioxide

## Published in ASHRAE Journal, 2022, Vol. 64 (5), pp. 50-52.

Andrew Persily<sup>1,\*</sup>, William P. Bahnfleth<sup>2</sup>, Howard Kipen<sup>3</sup>, Josephine Lau<sup>4</sup>, Corinne Mandin<sup>5</sup>, Chandra Sekhar<sup>6</sup>, Pawel Wargocki<sup>7</sup>, Lan Chi Nguyen Weekes<sup>8</sup>

Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are often discussed in the context of ventilation and IAQ (indoor air quality), including indoor CO<sub>2</sub> as an IAQ metric, ventilation rate estimation using CO<sub>2</sub> as a tracer gas, control of outdoor air ventilation, and impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> on building occupants. More recently CO<sub>2</sub> has been discussed in the context of airborne infectious disease transmission. However, many applications of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> do not reflect a sound technical understanding of the relationship between indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, ventilation and IAQ, and some applications have actually been technically flawed. In response to these concerns, ASHRAE has recently issued a Position Document (https://tinyurl.com/2p97a7zw) on the role of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> in the context of building ventilation and IAQ. This column presents the positions and recommendations in the document, which also contains a thorough discussion of the supporting background and extensive references for the interested reader.

The position document addresses the use of CO<sub>2</sub> as a metric of IAQ and ventilation, impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> on building occupants, indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurement, use of CO<sub>2</sub> to control outdoor air intake rates, and the relationship of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> to airborne infectious disease transmission. It also includes the motivations for developing the document and contains a background section that discusses the history of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the context of ventilation and IAQ, health and cognitive impacts of exposure to CO<sub>2</sub>, existing standards and regulations for indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, CO<sub>2</sub> as an indicator of IAQ and ventilation, use of CO<sub>2</sub> as a tracer gas for estimating ventilation rates, increases in outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, air cleaning directed at CO<sub>2</sub> removal alone, and CO<sub>2</sub> as an indicator of the risk of airborne disease transmission.

Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> has been discussed in the context of building ventilation and IAQ for literally centuries. More recent work has focused on two areas: how CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations relate to occupant perception of human bioeffluents and other aspects of IAQ, and the use of CO<sub>2</sub> to evaluate outdoor air ventilation rates. While a great deal of research on these topics has been conducted over the past several decades, misinterpretation of CO<sub>2</sub> as an indicator of IAQ and ventilation still occurs in the HVAC industry, IAQ research community, and the public. There have been many efforts to address this confusion in standards and guidance documents, technical publications, conference presentations and workshops, but significant misunderstanding remains and motivated the development of this position document.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rutgers University - School of Public Health, Piscataway, New Jersey USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Scientific and Technical Centre for Building (CSTB), Paris, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> National University of Singapore, Singapore

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Technical University of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> La Cité College, Ottawa, Canada

In addition to the need to clarify the relationship of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> to IAQ and ventilation, other motivations for this position document include recent research results on the impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> on human cognitive performance at commonly observed indoor concentrations that were previously thought not to be of concern. Other recent studies have examined physiological impacts at these lower concentrations, distinct from, though perhaps related to, cognitive and performance effects.

Given trends of increasing outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, additional concerns have been expressed regarding these potential health and performance impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in non-industrial indoor environments. Moreover, a variety of organizations and government bodies have issued standards and regulations for indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in non-industrial workplaces, and CO<sub>2</sub> sensors have become less expensive and more widely deployed.

Concerns have long existed regarding the accuracy of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurements, and they are increasing with the availability and more widespread application of CO<sub>2</sub> sensors. These concerns also include sensor locations that reflect air distribution within a space and occupant location. Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring has also been promoted as a ventilation indicator in the context of managing the risks of airborne disease transmission. Finally, most of these applications of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> require values for the rate at which building occupants generate CO<sub>2</sub> and other inputs, and the uncertainty of these values has not been well characterized.

The Position Document states the following positions:

- Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations do not provide an overall indication of IAQ, but they can be a useful tool in IAQ assessments if users understand the limits in these applications.
- Existing evidence for impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> on health, well-being, learning outcomes and work performance at commonly observed indoor concentrations is inconsistent, and therefore does not currently justify changes to ventilation and IAQ standards, regulations, and guidelines.
- The use of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> measurements to assess and control the risk of airborne disease transmission must account for the definition of acceptable risk, the type of space and its occupancy, and differences in CO<sub>2</sub> and infectious aerosol emissions and their subsequent fate and transport.
- Differences between indoor and outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations can be used to evaluate ventilation rates and air distribution using established tracer gas measurement methods, but accurate results require the validity of several assumptions and accurate input values.
- Sensor accuracy, location and calibration are all critical for drawing meaningful inferences from measured indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.
- Air cleaning technologies that remove only CO<sub>2</sub> will not necessarily improve overall IAQ and can interfere with systems using CO<sub>2</sub> for ventilation control or IAQ monitoring.

The Position Document recommends research in the following areas:

- Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> exposure as a modifier of human responses to other environmental factors such as thermal comfort and other airborne contaminants
- The development of IAQ metrics that cover the wide range of indoor contaminants and sources
- Health and performance impacts of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> in concentration ranges typical of nonindustrial indoor environments in both laboratory and field settings covering a diverse range of subjects, including variations in age, gender, and health status
- Physiological impacts of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, such as changes in blood

- chemistry and respiration, including those associated with increasing outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations
- The relationship between indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and the risks of airborne infectious disease transmission
- Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurement, including sensor performance and sensor locations for different applications and the performance and application of low-cost CO<sub>2</sub> sensors
- The use of occupant-generated CO<sub>2</sub> as a tracer gas to estimate building ventilation rates, including approaches that capture transient effects and account for multiple-space ventilation systems and different air distribution approaches
- Strategies for demand-controlled ventilation (DCV) using CO<sub>2</sub> and other indicators of occupancy that overcome limitations of current approaches and control contaminants that are not linked to occupancy
- Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, ventilation rates, and occupancy in different building types in different countries to establish benchmark data and better understand the impacts of new building and system designs, tighter construction, advanced operation and control strategies, and other changes in the building stock

The Position Document also recommends the pursuit of several activities:

- Development of guidance and standards on indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurement and sensor selection, especially for DCV applications
- Development of educational programs, conference sessions and workshops, and guidance documents to help practitioners and researchers understand the application of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations as an indicator of ventilation and IAQ
- Development of guidance on HVAC equipment and controls using CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring
- Development of guidance on the use of CO<sub>2</sub> as a tracer gas for measuring building ventilation rates and air distribution

This new ASHRAE position document helps to clarify the role of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> in the context of ventilation and IAQ and should be useful to practitioners, researchers and policymakers. As the recommendations for additional research and guidance are implemented, the information generated will further improve the application of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in improving IAQ.